IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY) COMMISSION,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	Case No. WDQ-02-CV-648
LA WEIGHT LOSS,	2 9 0
Defendant.)	

DECLARATION OF ELVIRA SISOLAK

- I, Elvira Sisolak, hereby state as follows:
- 1. I am a Senior Economist in the Research and Analytic Services unit of the Office of General Counsel, EEOC. As a labor economist, I provide analytical support and expert testimony for charges and cases of employment discrimination. I graduated with a B.A. in economics from the University of Massachusetts and received a M.A. in economics from George Washington University.
- 2. During the 32 years I have worked for EEOC, I have prepared many analyses and reports on the economic aspects of employment discrimination including the calculation of back pay and front pay. I am responsible for developing techniques and approaches required for my analyses, and gathering, processing, and evaluating data needed to complete the task.
- 3. I conducted an analysis of the economic loss for the claimants who were denied hire to LA Weight Loss. In order to complete this analysis I relied upon the expert report I previously prepared in this case. In my previous report in this case, I

calculated the hiring shortfall or the number of males Defendant should have hired in each year. The shortfall is the difference between the number of men who were hired and the number of men who would be expected to be hired given the male applicant rate. In determining the shortfall I examined the actual hiring rates of men and women by Defendant and the rates at which men applied for work in the affected job categories, as determined in my prior Report in this case. I also reviewed the expert report prepared PriceWaterhouseCoopers ("PWC") for Defendant. The report prepared by PWC calculated average back pay wages by job category. PWC represented that the average earning figures for the sampling of the EEOC's claimants were calculated based upon the average earnings and tenure of incumbents in each job category. I multiplied the shortfall figure by the average earnings and benefits for each year in the affected job category. The calculations do not include pre-judgment interest. The calculations that I conducted are attached hereto to this declaration in the form of a spreadsheet.

4. It was reasonable to calculate the back pay based upon a missed opportunities (shortfall) analysis using male availability, availability being the percentage of males who applied for the affected jobs at LA Weight Loss. In my experience, this is an acceptable method of calculating back pay due to the large class of presently identified claimants and potential claimants yet to be identified.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: 3 13 08

Elvira Sisolak Senior Economist

Research and Analytic Services EEOC Office of General Counsel

			100	0 2004				Missed ops
			1999-2004		Missed			
							Opps per	Missed
				A	C	Missad	Year	Opps
		res		Applicant		Missed	Teal	Орръ
	#	% of Total			# of Men	Opps	0.4	758
Counselors	3,141	57.7	63	18.1	569	506	84	
Medical Assistants	632	11.6	13	11.6	73	60	10	
Assistant Managers	764	14.0	18	24.0	183	165	28	
Managers	831	15.3	17	29.6	246	229	38	
Area Supervisors	77	1.4	1	33.7	26	25	4	37
Total	5,445	100.0	112		1,097	985	164	1,478
				0				
			Messel	Counselors	Loot			
			Missed	Back Pay	Lost	Tatal		
			Opps		Benefits	Total		
1999	892	10.9	83	351,316	29,810	381,125		
2000			84					
2001			115					
2002			67					1
2002			94					
2003			62					
			84					
2005			84					7-
2006			84					
2007	908	11.1	04	475,414	73,393	330,000		
Tota	8,169	100.0	758	3,775,873	428,041	4,203,914		
			Me	edical Assista	ants			
			Missed	Back Pay	Lost			
			Opps		Benefits	Total		
1999	892	2 10.9	10	43,307	3,260	46,567	7	
2000								
2000								
2002								
2003								
2004								
2005								
2006								
2007								
		9 100.0		450,836	46,812	2 497,648		

			Λ	iotopt More	roro		
			Assistant Managers				
			Missed	Back Pay	Lost	Total	
			Opps		Benefits	Total	
1999	892	10.9	27	237,475	17,877	255,351	
2000	910	11.1	28	249,756			
2001	1,241	15.2	38	341,364		368,822	
2002	724	8.9		212,946			
2002	1,015	12.4	31	309,290			
2004	663	8.1	20	209,381		233,936	
2005	908	11.1	28	295,642		330,416	
2006	908	11.1	28	304,685		344,355	
2007	908	11.1	28	311,612		356,827	
2007	900	11.1	20	311,012	45,214	330,027	
Total	8,169	100.0	248	2,472,152	256,695	2,728,847	
Total	0,103	100.0	240	2,472,102	230,093	2,720,047	
				Managers			
			Missed	Back Pay	Lost		
	-		Opps	Duck I dy	Benefits	Total	
			Оррз		Deficits	Total	
1999	892	10.9	38	713,078	29,255	742,333	
2000	910	11.1	38				
2001	1,241	15.2		1,054,401	44,934		
2002	724	8.9					
2003	1,015	12.4					
2004	663	8.1	28	604,552			
2005	908	11.1	38				
2006	908	11.1	38	878,380		943,300	
2007	908	11.1	38	904,725			
2001	300	11.1	30	304,723	13,332	370,710	
Total	8,169	100.0	343	7,274,453	420,076	7,694,530	
70141	0,100	100.0	010	1,214,400	420,010	1,004,000	
			A	rea Manage	rs		
			Missed	Back Pay	Lost		
			Opps	,	Benefits	Total	
1999	892	10.9	4	168,568	4,597	173,165	
2000	910	11.1	4	177,288			
2001	1,241	15.2				256,315	
2002	724	8.9		149,778		154,823	
2003	1,015	12.4	5	210,161		217,694	
2004	663	8.1	3	141,396		147,711	
2005	908	11.1	4	138,213		147,156	
2006	908	11.1	4	205,440		215,642	
2007	908	11.1	4	211,602		223,230	
2001	300			211,002	11,020	220,200	
Total	8,169	100.0	37	1,651,701	66,016	1,717,717	

	Five Job Grou	ps
	Missed	
	Opps	Total
1999	161	1,598,542
2000	165	1,696,530
2001	224	2,376,221
2002	131	1,437,599
2003	184	2,084,728
2004	120	1,427,658
2005	164	1,952,920
2006	164	2,093,803
2007	164	2,174,656
Total	1,478	16,842,656